



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3530/2021 & CRL.M.A. 89/2022**

SALMAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pratyaksh Gupta, Ms. Asha Gupta and Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, APP for State.
Mr. Yashardhan Singh Rathore with Mr. Tushar Manchal, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
05.03.2025

%

1. Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed to challenge the Order dated 27.07.2019 vide which the Application under Section 143A N.I.Act has been allowed and 20% of the cheque amount has been directed to be deposited in FDR before the next date of hearing.
2. The sole ground of challenge in the present Petition is that the Complaint was filed on 11.11.2016. Section 143A N.I.Act has been introduced by way of an amendment only in 2018. It has been held in the case of G.J.Raja vs. Tejraj Surana, (2019) 19 SCC 469, that the new amendment under Section 143A N.I.Act is effective from 02.08.2018 and is prospective. Therefore, it is submitted that the impugned Order is liable to be set aside.



3. Learned counsel on behalf of the Respondent submits that there is no infirmity in the impugned Order and the present Petition is liable to be dismissed.
4. **Submissions heard and record perused.**
5. In view of the judgment in the case of G.J.Raja (Supra), the impugned Order is hereby set aside.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MARCH 5, 2025

rk