



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. (MISC.) 19/2025 & I.A. 15512/2025

ASAD MUEED & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Saket Sikri, Mr. Viklap Mudgal,
Mr. Prakhar Khanna, Mr. M.H. Zahid and Mr.
Priyansh Choudhary, Advocates.

versus

HAMMAD AHMED & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shreyans Singhvi and Ms.
Akanksha Agrawal, Advocates for R-1 to 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

% **07.07.2025**

I.A. 15513/2025 (u/S 151 of CPC)

1. This application is filed on behalf of the Petitioners seeking condonation of delay of 55 days in re-filing the petition.
2. Issue notice.
3. Mr. Shreyans Singhvi, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Respondents No. 1 to 3.
4. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed, condoning the delay of 55 days in re-filing the petition.
5. Application stands disposed of.

O.M.P. (MISC.) 19/2025

6. This petition is filed on behalf of the Petitioners under Section 29A (4) and (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 ('1996 Act') seeking extension of the mandate of the learned Arbitrator by a period of 12 months.
7. Disputes arose between the parties in respect of autonomy and independence of HIMSAR, a constituent institution of Jamia Hamdard, which



is directly under control and supervision of Hamdard National Foundation through a sub-Committee as envisaged under a Family Settlement Deed ('FSD') dated 22.10.2019, as amended on 21.02.2020. Petitioners filed a petition under Section 9 of the 1996 Act seeking interim reliefs, which was disposed of vide order dated 20.09.2022 with certain directions and by the same order, with the consent of the parties, Court appointed a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes. It is stated in the petition that while the settlement talks were on going, the mandate of the Arbitrator extended by six months with the consent of the parties expired on 11.08.2024. In O.M.P.(MISC.) No. 28/2024, the mandate was extended for 6 months vide order dated 23.08.2024. Since no settlement took place, matter was fixed for arguments of the Claimants on their applications for 22.01.2025 and 06.02.2025. Counsel for the Claimants is stated to have commenced arguments on Section 17 applications on 22.01.2025.

8. Learned counsels for the parties are *ad idem* that the arbitral proceedings are at the stage of arguments on various applications viz. for impleadment, termination of proceedings by the Respondents and interim relief under Section 17 of the 1996 Act by the Petitioners. It is submitted that mandate of the Arbitrator has expired on 11.02.2025 and the same be extended to enable the Arbitrator to conclude the arbitral proceedings.

9. Issue notice.

10. Mr. Shreyans Singhvi, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Respondents No. 1 to 3 and on instructions, submits that Respondent has no objection to the extension of mandate of the learned Arbitrator.

11. Accordingly, with the consent of the parties, mandate of the learned Arbitrator is extended by a period of 12 months from 07.07.2025 and period



between 12.02.2025 to 06.07.2025 is regularized.

12. Petition is allowed and disposed of along with pending application in the aforesaid terms.

JYOTI SINGH, J

JULY 7, 2025

S.Sharma