



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4309/2025, CRL.M.A. 18802/2025

BUNTY & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. S. K. Mittal, Ms. Sujata and
Ms. Himani Asthana, Advs. with
Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State
with SI Mahendra Patel, PS: Narela
and Respondent No.2 and her father-
in-law Mr. Subhash Chand in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

27.10.2025

%

1. Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners for quashing of FIR No.0245/2018 under Sections 323/341/354/354B/452/34 IPC, registered at PS: Narela, Delhi, in terms of MoU dated 06.05.2025.
2. Learned APP and learned Counsel for Respondent No. 2 appearing on advance Notice, accept Notice.
3. It is submitted that the Petitioners and Respondent No.2 are neighbors and since it is an incident of 2018, after which the parties are living peacefully with harmony
4. It is further submitted that on 02.04.2018, on Complaint of Respondent No. 2, No.0245/2018 under Sections 323/341/354/354B/452/34 IPC was registered at PS: Narela, Delhi.
5. It is submitted that parents in law suffered injuries in the incident, but



no MLC was done. In fact, they did not receive any injuries. They are very old age.

6. It is further submitted that present FIR was a consequence of the dispute between the neighbors, which has been settled with the intervention of family members, relatives and well wishers, vide MoU dated 06.05.2025.

7. It is further submitted that injuries have been compensation on payment of Rs.25,000/- in cash today in the Court to Respondent No.2, which is accepted by her.

8. The parties are present in the Court and have been identified by the IO. The parties submit that they have arrived at the settlement without any force, coercion and undue influence and pressure and they have signed the MoU dated 06.05.2025 with their wish and will.

9. Learned Counsel for Petitioners submits that the parties have been happily living in the neighborhood for the last six-seven years, since 2018 and have no grievance against each other. Since Respondent No. 2 has already made a statement before the Court that she does not want to pursue the proceedings in FIR, the present Petition be allowed and FIR No.0245/2018 be quashed.

10. In view of MoU dated 06.05.2025, present Petition has been filed.

11. Respondent No.2 and her father-in-law is present and they submit that they have no grievance against the Petitioners and also no objection to the quashing of FIR. They affirm that they are happily living in their neighborhood.

12. In view of the fact that the FIR in question was premised upon a neighbor dispute between the Petitioners and Respondent No.2, which has been amicably resolved in terms of MoU dated 06.05.2025. Considering the



fact that parties have been living with harmony and peace for last six-seven years and Respondent No.2 has also given no objection to the quashing of FIR, no fruitful purpose would be served in continuing with the proceedings arising out of the FIR.

13. Consequently, FIR No.0245/2018 under Sections 323/341/354/354B/452/34 IPC, registered at PS: Narela, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

14. The Petition along with pending Application is disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

OCTOBER 27, 2025/R