



\$~23

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(CRL) 1963/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18379/2025

SUNNY TANEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel (Appearance not
given)

versus

DIRECRATE OF ENFORCEMENT

& ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, SC for
ED with Mr. Mathew M.
Phillip, Mr. Karan Grover,
Mr. Priyansh Raj Singh
Senger & Mr. Aniket
Kumar Singh, Advs.
Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC
(Crl.) for the State with
Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Mr.
Ashvini Kumar, Mr. Nitish
Dhawan, Ms. Chavi
Lazarus & Ms. Sanskriti
Nimbekar, Advs.
Ms. Shagun Shahi Chugh,
SPC with Ms. Nandita
Mishra, Adv. for Delhi
Police
Inspector Pawan Kumar,
PS- AC Branch
Mr. Sunil Goyal, ASC for
MCD/R2 & Mr. Nitin
Kala, Adv. (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

02.07.2025

1. The petitioner is essentially aggrieved that he had given some representation to the Directorate of Enforcement, on which, no action has been taken according to the petitioner.
2. The petitioner in his representation claims to have pointed



out that certain offences are being committed by the MCD officials, in which, certain proceeds of crime were generated.

3. In the opinion of this Court, no procedure is envisaged where such representations can be made to the Directorate of Enforcement on which a party can seek response from the Investigating Agency.

4. Concededly, the information has already been given to the Directorate of Enforcement and as and when any action is required to be taken, the same would be taken in accordance with law. No directions can be passed by this Court.

5. The petition is, therefore, dismissed.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

JULY 2, 2025

“SS”