



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5273/2022**

DINESH CHAURASIYA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Umesh Kumar Gautam, Adv.
alongwith the petitioners

versus

THE STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Mr. Bhuman Bansal,
Adv.
Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Adv. R-2
SI- Brij Mohan, PS: Hauz Qazi

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **10.02.2026**

CRL.M.A. 2266/2026 (*For restoration of petition*)

1. By virtue of the present application, the petitioner seeks restoration of the present petition which was dismissed in default and for non-prosecution *vide* order dated 08.12.2025.
2. Learned APP for the State alongwith the counsel for the complainant submit that they have no objection if the present application is allowed and the present petition is restored.
3. As such, for the reasons stated as also the no objection recorded hereinabove, the present application is allowed and the present petition is restored to its original position.
4. The present application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 5273/2022



5. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 483* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C**), the petitioner *inter alia* seeks quashing of the FIR No.207/2020 dated 16.12.2020 registered at PS.: Hauz Quasi under *Sections 454/380/506/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**), and all proceedings emanating therefrom in view of the Compromise Deed dated 12.02.2021 arrived at *inter se* the petitioners and the respondent no.2.

6. The present petition is accompanied by the said Compromise Deed dated 12.02.2021 [**Annexure B**], and is also supported by affidavit(s) of the petitioners and respondent no.2, alongwith their respective proofs of identity.

7. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR No.207/2020 dated 16.12.2020.

8. Respondent no.2, also present in Court, accepts notice and affirms the terms of the Compromise Deed dated 12.02.2021 arrived at *inter se* the petitioners and the respondent no.2, whereby *inter alia* the respondent no.2 was to receive Rs.3,28,000/- as full and final settlement, which the respondents no.2 affirms to have already received. Lastly, respondent no.2 states that she has no objection to the quashing of the FIR No.0207/2020 dated 16.12.2020.

9. Additionally, petitioners and respondent no.2, present in Court, have been identified by the IO and their credentials, as on record, have been duly verified.

10. In view of the fact that a settlement has already been arrived at between the parties, they shall remain bound by all the terms and



conditions contained therein. As such, following the law laid down by the *Hon'ble Supreme Court in Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58, Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR No.207/2020 dated 16.12.2020 will be an exercise in futility.

11. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.207/2020 dated 16.12.2020 registered at PS.: Hauz Quasi under *Sections 454/380/506/34 IPC* and all other proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. Accordingly, the petition, alongwith pending application, is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2026/Ab