



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3262/2021, CRL.M.A. 20055/2021

GAURAV KTNAR SHANNA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanchit Garg & Ms. Diksha Arora, Advocates.

versus

STATE & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP for the State with SI Dharmveer PS Chhawla and SI Menka (Main IO).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

04.11.2025

%

1. A Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with Article 227 Constitution of India has been filed to relieve certain onerous conditions that have been imposed in the Bail Order 30.11.2021 of the learned ASJ.
2. Learned counsel for Respondent No.2 has appeared through V.C.
3. The only challenge is that while granting Bail to the Petitioner, the learned ASJ had imposed the condition "*the Applicant is directed to refund the amount of Rs.5 lakhs which was received by him by way of Demand Draft along with admitted list of dowry articles within three days from today*".
4. It is on record that whatever were the admitted dowry articles have been handed over to the Complainant on 02.12.2021. In so far as the return



of the amount of ₹5 lakhs is concerned, the Bail is not the recovery proceeding and cannot be termed as a finding that this amount was recoverable by the Petitioner. Such conditions are not warranted while granting Bail. Therefore, the condition so imposed is hereby deleted.

5. The Petition is accordingly allowed and stands disposed of along with the pending Application(s).

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 4, 2025/va