



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 4143/2025 and Crl.M.A. No. 18132/2025

PURAN CHAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Sunil Manchanda, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Mahesh Kumar PS Vasant
Vihar
Respondent No. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

%

25.08.2025

1. Petitioner herein seeks quashing of an FIR No. 217/2022 dated 01.09.2022 for the alleged offences under Sections 279 and 338 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Vasant Vihar, Delhi, along with all the proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. Allegedly, a white Swift car driven by Puran Chand (petitioner herein) hit the respondent Raju's bicycle from behind, leaving the bicycle broken. Respondent No.2 suffered a fall and injuries consequent thereto. However, subsequently the parties amicably resolved their matter vide settlement deed dated 16.09.2022.
3. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent No.2 as well as perused the material available on record.



4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties, out of their own volition and without any coercion or undue influence, have now amicably resolved all their disputes by way of settlement for a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- which payment already stands paid vide DD No. 000731, dated 16.09.2022 drawn on Axis Bank in favour respondent No.2 during the course of proceedings before the learned Presiding Officer, MACT, New Delhi, Patiala House Courts in terms of order dated 16.10.2024 annexed as Annexure-P4 to the petition.

5. Parties are present in the Court, and have been identified by their counsel and the concerned Investigating Officer. On a query posed by the Court, the parties submit that they have amicably settled the dispute and accepted the terms thereof out of their own volition and without any duress, pressure or coercion from any quarter.

6. The learned counsel for the Respondent no.2 and the learned APP for the state concur with the factum of the compromise between the parties.

7. Given that the dispute has been resolved, continuing with criminal proceedings may serve no useful purpose. It would be a drain on judicial resources and abuse of the process of law, especially when dispute does not involve any public interest or interest of the society at large. Continuation of the proceedings, on the other hand, may result in hostility between the parties and defeat the very purpose of the settlement.

8. Quashing the FIR would rather facilitate the parties in maintaining or restoring cordiality. Therefore, the proceedings deserve to be quashed in the exercise of the inherent powers of the Court. Reference may be had to the judgement rendered in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]*** in this context.



9. Accordingly, exercising the inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of BNSS, it is therefore deemed appropriate to quash the FIR arising from this matrimonial dispute.
10. Consequently, the instant petition is allowed. FIR No. 217/2022 dated 01.09.2022 under Sections 279/338 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Vasant Vihar, Delhi and the criminal proceedings arising there from are hereby quashed.
11. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 25, 2025/SV