



\$~12

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1092/2022**

**SUSHMITA KUMARI**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sandeep Malik, Adv.

versus

**MR C B MISHRA & ORS.**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Suman Malhotra, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**

%

**28.05.2025**

1. It is stated by the counsel for the petitioner that they were reinstated on 05<sup>th</sup> December 2022 and thereafter they chose to resign on 31<sup>st</sup> July 2023.
2. As per the directions given in para 40 of the order dated 04<sup>th</sup> March 2022 passed by the Presiding Officer of the *Delhi School Tribunal*, a representation was to be given, in relation to entitlement as regards back wages.
3. Counsel for the respondent states that they have reverted on the representation, seeking documentation for the petitioner relating to her employment and in the meantime have found that she was employed in a new school.
4. While counsel for the petitioner states that they have reverted-back with necessary documentation, a fresh response to the said communication will be provided by the counsel for the petitioner to the counsel for the respondent along with a copy of the same, in order that further processing



can be carried out within the next 8 weeks, by the respondent in this regard.

5. The compliance will be communicated to the petitioner's counsel by a written communication.

6. If there is any infraction of these directions by this Court, the respondent shall be proceeded ahead, in accordance with law.

7. The petition is, therefore, disposed of.

8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**MAY 28, 2025/MK/kp**