



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8450/2025 CM APPL. Nos. 36758/2025 and 43841/2025

RAO CONVENT SCHOOL (THROUGH ITS GENERAL
SECRETARY)

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. B. S. Mathur, Mr. Rajat Mathur
and Mr. Arun Gulati, Advocates.

versus

CENTRAL BOARD OF SECONDARY EDUCATION (CBSE)
THROUGH DEPUTY/JOINT SECRETARY
(AFFILIATION)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. M.A. Niyazi, Ms. Anamika Ghai
Niyazi, Ms. Kirti Bhardwaj, Ms. Nehmat Sethi and
Mr. Arquam Ali, Advs. for CBSE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

03.09.2025

%

1. Mr. B.S. Mathur, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that he will not press the present petition any further if a direction is given to respondent/CBSE to treat the present petition as a representation and dispose of the same by a speaking order, and in case circumstances so warrant, to conduct a fresh inspection.
2. In view of limited prayer sought, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent/CBSE to treat the present petition as a representation and dispose of the same by a speaking order within a period of four weeks.
3. In case before passing the speaking order, CBSE intends to conduct



any inspection or seek any fresh document from petitioner, it shall be at liberty to do so.

4. In case any adverse order is passed, petitioner shall be at liberty to approach the Court.

5. It is clarified that nothing has been expressed on the merits of the case.

6. The Petition along with pending application is disposed of.

7. *Dasti.*

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 3, 2025/jg