



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 276/2023 and CM APPL. 48592/2023
RAVI KUMARAppellant

Through: Mr. Ravi Rajan, Adv.

versus

REETU KUMARIRespondent

Through: Ms. Kamlakshi Singh and Ms.
Radhika Raghuvanshi, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR

ORDER

15.12.2025

%

1. The present Appeal has been filed by the Appellant assailing the correctness of the order dated 20.05.2023 [hereinafter referred to as 'Impugned Order'] passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, North East District, Karkardooma Court, Delhi in HMA No.562/2021 captioned ***Ravi Kumar vs. Reetu Kumari***.

2. The Family Court had directed the Appellant to pay maintenance *pendente lite* @ Rs.20,000/- p.m. to the Respondent and her child, who is 05 years old.

3. The Appellant is presently working as Assistant Sub-Inspector in Central Industrial Security Force (CISF) and earning a monthly income of Rs.71,289/-.

4. Learned counsel representing the Appellant submits that being the only child of his parents, the Appellant has a lot of responsibilities and he has also contributed financially towards the marriage of his sister for which he had taken a loan and EMIs are being paid by him



on regular basis.

5. This Court has considered the submissions and observes that the Appellant has a responsibility towards his wife and child and *vide* Impugned Order, the Family Court has only directed the Appellant to pay only Rs.20,000/- p.m.

6. Keeping in view the foregoing, this Court does not find any reason to interfere with the Impugned Order.

7. Hence, the present Appeal, along with pending application, stands dismissed.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.

DECEMBER 15, 2025

s.godara/kb