



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1856/2025**

SAURABH JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Pratyush Chirantan, Adv.**

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sanjay Lao, ASC for State
with Mr. Ashish Mahani, Mr.
Bhuman Bansal and Mr. Ashok
Kumar Shukla, Advs.**

SI Priyanka PS Keshavpuram

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

% **30.05.2025**

CRL.M.A. 17402/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1856/2025

3. This is a fresh petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the petitioner seeking direction to the learned Trial Court to conclude the trial within a specified time frame, preferably within six months from the next date fixed before the learned Trial Court i.e., 04.06.2025.

4. The learned counsel submits that trial arising out of the FIR No. 854/2014 dated 19.12.2014 (subject 'FIR') against the petitioner for offence under Section 498A/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC)



registered at Police Station Keshav Puram, Delhi is pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, North West Rohini, Delhi. He submits that prior to moving the present petition, the petitioner had instituted another writ petition being W.P.(Crl.) 412/2024 titled “**Saurabh Jain vs State of NCT of Delhi**” before this Court.

5. Subsequent thereto, this Court *vide* Order dated 06.02.2024 directed the learned Trial Court to expedite the trial. However, since then more than a year has passed and out of 9 witnesses, only 2 witnesses have been examined by the prosecution and the complainant has been partly examined in chief. He submits that the complainant is deliberately delaying her cross examination as a witness before the learned Trial Court and the petitioner, therefore, is suffering as he has to face a long drawn trial. Moreover, the petitioner is presently posted at Mumbai and has to visit Delhi on the dates of hearing.

6. Heard the submissions made and perused the record.

7. In view of the foregoing circumstances, the learned Trial Court is requested to expeditiously dispose of the trial arising out of the subject FIR, preferably within a period of eight months.

8. With the above observation, the present petition stands disposed of.

SHALINDER KAUR, J

MAY 30, 2025/SU/KP

Click here to check corrigendum, if any