



\$~DB-2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LPA 385/2025 & CM APPL. 35956/2025**

SATYA PRAKASH RAVIDAS

.....Appellant

Through: Appellant in person

versus

SDM HQ REGISTRAR OF SOCIETIES & ANR.Respondents

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN

SHANKAR

ORDER

02.06.2025

%

1. After some arguments, learned counsel for the appellant states that certain material documents, which would have been relevant to decide the *lis* before this Court, were inadvertently not filed along with the writ petition. He seeks permission to file the same alongwith an appropriate application before the learned Single Judge in that regard.

2. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the appellant, this appeal need not detain us any further. However, we grant the liberty to the appellant to file the documents so referred to by the learned counsel within a period of two weeks from today. An application, as deemed appropriate, may be filed in support thereof, which may be considered by the learned Single Judge on its merits.



3. In that view of the matter, the appeal is disposed of with the above directions.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J
(VACATION JUDGE)

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J
(VACATION JUDGE)

JUNE 2, 2025
yrj