



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 4079/2025 and CRL.M.A. 17686/2025 & CRL.M.A.
17687/2025

ROBIN @ LAKHI SINGH & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ankit, Advocate along with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT
OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with SI Sonal Raj, PS – Bharat
Nagar and SI Pradeep, PS – Cyber
Police Station North-West.
Respondents in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER
14.08.2025

%

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of an FIR No. 583/2019 dated 12.12.2019 under Sections 354, 323, 509 and 34 of IPC lodged at Police Station Tigri, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise between the parties.
2. Complainant alleged that one day when she was going to give tea to her mother-in-law, petitioners caught hold of her hand but released it on seeing her brother-in-law approaching. Thereafter, the petitioners abused her



and even threw stones at their house, causing injury to her brother-in-law's foot.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties are known to each other and are neighbours. It was actually a verbal spat for a parking space which resulted in the said FIR. He further submits the parties have amicably settled the dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 30.01.2025 which is on record (**Annexure-F**). Moreover, an affidavit of no objection to the quashing, deposed by respondent no. 2 and 3, has also been placed on record.

3.1 Relying on the judgment in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, he further submits that in view of the settlement, the FIR and all consequential proceedings deserve to be quashed.

4. Both the counsel for Respondent No. 2 and the learned APP for the State concur with the factum of the compromise between the parties and convey their no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

5. In this backdrop, I have heard learned counsel for both sides and perused the FIR as well as the case file.

6. The parties are present in person before the Court. Upon interacting with them, it appears that the real dispute, being private in nature, has indeed been settled amicably. The complainant has also affirmed, without any coercion or duress, that she no longer wishes to pursue the charges and supports the quashing of the FIR.

7. Due to a misunderstanding, allegations were levelled against the petitioners in the heat of the moment at the time of FIR registration. However, parties have since resolved their differences and arrived at a mutual compromise, they now wish to restore cordial relations.



8. In view of the above, and applying the ratio laid down in ***Gian Singh*** (supra), it is deemed just and appropriate to invoke the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the BNSS to prevent undue hardship to the parties and promote mutual goodwill and societal harmony. Allowing criminal proceedings to continue in light of the compromise would be an abuse of the process of law.
9. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 583/2019 dated 12.12.2019 under Sections 354, 323, 509 and 34 of IPC lodged at Police Station Tigri along with all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.
10. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 14, 2025

kd