



\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4032/2025**

SH. BUNTY SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Barkha Chadha, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State
for the State with SI Meenakshi, PS
Neb Sarai.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

30.05.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 17524/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 17825/2025 (for condonation of delay in re-filing the petition)

3. The present application has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 51 days in re-filing the petition.
4. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 51 days in re-filing the petition is condoned.
5. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 4032/2025 and CRL.M.A. 17824/2025 (stay)

6. The Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as 'CrPC'*)/ Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.'*) has



been filed on behalf of the Petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 542/2022 under Section 363/323/366/376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as 'IPC'*) registered at Police Station Neb Sarai, New Delhi and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.

7. Issue Notice.

8. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP appearing on advance Notice, accepts Notice on behalf of the State.

9. It is submitted that the present petition is supported by the affidavit of both the petitioner as well as Respondent No. 2. It is further submitted that the Petitioner and Respondent No. 2 got married on 07.11.2021, at which time, she was around 16 years of age. She had some small quarrel with petitioner and went back to her parental home on 27.08.2022, when on the complaint of her mother, the FIR got registered. The Respondent No. 2 was four months pregnant at that time. She, in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. which was recorded twice, consistently stated that she is married and happily settled with the petitioner and that she was not inclined to pursue the matter. To the similar effect, the statement of the mother of the prosecutrix was also recorded. It is further stated that a daughter was born from the wedlock on 07.01.2023 and the petitioner and Respondent No. 2 along with the child are happily living together.

10. The parties are present before this Court in-person today and have been identified by their learned counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.

11. Considering the totality of the circumstances, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing this litigation considering the interest of not only the



parties but also of the child, who was born from the wedlock.

12. Accordingly, FIR No. 542/2022 dated 27.08.2022 under Section 363/323/366/376, registered at Police Station Neb Sarai, New Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

13. The Petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 30, 2025

PB