



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4017/2025 & CRL.M.A. 17482/2025

RAJKISHAN@KALU & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajbir Singh Ruhil, Adv. along
with the Petitioners in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with SI Gurtej Singh, PS JP Kalan and Main IO
W/ASI Pushpa, PS Najafgarh
Respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

02.09.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [Section 482 of the Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR 181/2020 registered at Police Station Jaffarpur Kalan on 02.09.2020, for offences punishable under Sections 323/354(B)/509/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 02.09.2020, the complainant and her husband alleged that they were assaulted by their relatives, who are the present petitioners, were under the influence of alcohol. It is alleged that the petitioners, abused the complainant, and assaulted both her and her husband with sticks, causing injuries. On investigation, it was found that offences under Sections 323/354B/506/509/34 of the IPC were made out against the



petitioners, and accordingly, chargesheet under Section 173 of the CrPC. was prepared and submitted before the Court.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Memorandum of Understanding dated 02.02.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-3. *Qua* this MOU, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR 181/2020 registered at Police Station Jaffarpur Kalan against the petitioners.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Jaffarpur Kalan. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303***, FIR 181/2020 registered at Police Station Jaffarpur Kalan on 02.09.2020, for offences punishable under Sections 323/354(B)/509/506/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

12. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 2, 2025

sk/yr