



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2145/2025**

KARAN PAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pawan Mehta and Mr. Manan
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

06.08.2025

%

1. This is an application for grant of regular bail, filed on behalf of applicant/accused Karan Pal in case FIR No. 724/2024 under Sections 103(1)/309(6)/311/317(2)/238(A)/3(5) BNS, 2023 and under Sections 25/54/59 Arms Act, registered at Police Station Dabri.
2. Status report filed by learned APP appearing for the State is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that applicant has no involvement in the present case and he has been falsely implicated. The investigation is flawed inasmuch as the Investigating Officer failed to preserve the CCTV footage of the incident and also did not collect the Call Detail Records [“CDR”] to ascertain the location of the applicant at the place of incident.
4. It is further submitted that injured made no complaint at 100 number,



which demonstrates that he is a planted witness. He further states that there is no MLC of the alleged eye witness/injured Shakeel.

5. It is also submitted that applicant was not named in the FIR. He was not apprehended from the spot. The Test Identification Parade [“TIP”] was conducted after two months, which carries no sanctity.

6. Application has been opposed by learned APP appearing for the State, submitting that applicant with his friends and cousin, Ravi Pal robbed the wallet of PW-Shakeel and stabbed his cousin, Saddam to death by stabbing him with knife and in the process of commission of robbery, Shakeel was also caused injuries on his head with a sharp edged weapon. He further submits that applicant has been identified in TIP by Shakeel. There is also recovery of iron punch, used in the commission of offence from the applicant. It is also submitted that applicant has criminal antecedents inasmuch as he is involved in five other criminal cases and, therefore, does not deserve the leniency of bail.

7. The status report reveals that applicant and co-accused committed the murder of Saddam by inflicting stab injuries and also caused injuries to Shakeel with a sharp weapon with intent to rob them.

8. Admittedly, the applicant has not been named in the FIR but has been identified in TIP on 07.01.2025. The status report further reveals that iron punch used in the commission of offence has been recovered at the instance of present petitioner. The auto used for absconding after commission of crime was also recovered from the possession of the present applicant. Injured Shakeel was medically examined at hospital and his MLC has been collected by the Investigating agency.

9. The nominal roll received from Jail Superintendent shows that he is



involved in five more cases. Thus, he is having criminal antecedents.

10. The trial is at an initial stage. Charges have been framed against the applicant. The possibility that upon being released on bail, the applicant may threaten or intimidate the witnesses, cannot be ruled out.

11. Hence, considering the nature and gravity of the allegations and the severity of sentence, which conviction may entail, the Court is of the view that this is not a fit case for grant of bail to the applicant.

12. The application is, therefore, dismissed.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

AUGUST 6, 2025/vd/sk