



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 880/2025**

KALPANA BASTIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Atul T.N. and Ms. K. Pallavi,
Advocates.

versus

MR. PRAJITH KUMAR D & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Arun Aggarwal, Mr. Aman
Singh & Mr. Lovelesh Kukreja for
Contemnor/Respondent. [M:-
9811087995/011-23383514]

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

29.05.2025

1. The petitioner alleges contempt of an order dated 15.04.2025 in
W.P.(C) 2424/2023, which directed as follows:

*“3. The respondent is, therefore, directed to file a detailed affidavit
chronologically listing the notices given to the petitioner, replies
received from her and also place on record the minutes of inquiry
proceedings, evidence and documents, as well as the final report of
the inquiry officer.*

*4. Let affidavit be filed within four weeks from today. Rejoinder
thereto may be filed within two weeks thereafter.”*

2. It is submitted that an affidavit has been filed by the respondent
No. 1 on 15.05.2025, but the affidavit does not comply with the
requirements of the said order, to the extent that the documents directed
to be placed on record, have not been annexed to the affidavit.

3. The matter was passed over to enable Mr. Aggarwal to take
instructions. He has taken instructions and states that the documents will
be filed by 15.07.2025. The respondents are bound down to this



submission, and directed to file the documents on affidavit, within the aforesaid time.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission, I do not consider it appropriate to institute contempt proceedings at this stage.

5. The contempt petition is therefore disposed of.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MAY 29, 2025

UK/‘pv/JM’/