



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1823/2025**

SATEESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Karamveer Singh & Mr. Parveen
Sharma, Advocates with Petitioner in
person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for State with
Mr. Sangeet Sibou, Mr. Priyansh Raj
Singh Senger and Mr. Aniket Kumar
Singh, Advocates with ASI Anand
Kumar.
Mr. Arun Kumar Mishra, Advocate
for R-2 with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

02.07.2025

%

1. Petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India of read with Section 528 BNSS has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No.0510/2020 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS: New Ashok Nagar and proceedings emanating therefrom, in terms of Settlement Deed dated 04.02.2025.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned ASC for the State and learned counsel for Resopndent No.2 appear on advance notice and accept notice.
4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between Petitioner/husband and the Respondent No. 2/wife was solemnized on 16.03.2010, according to the Hindu rites and ceremonies and a child namely Yug was born out of the



said wedlock on 13.03.2011.

5. It is submitted that on 18.11.2020, on the basis of complaint made by the Respondent No.2, FIR No.0510/2020 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS: New Ashok Nagar. It is further submitted that though due to misunderstanding and temperamental differences the FIR was registered, even though the parties continued to reside together under the same roof.

6. It is submitted that the FIR was a consequence of the matrimonial disputes between the Complainant and the Petitioner / husband. It is stated that the Petitioners and the Respondent No.2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them and arrived at Settlement Deed dated 04.02.2025.

7. In terms of the Settlement Deed / Mou dated 04.02.2025, the Statements of the parties have already been recorded and the present Petition has been filed for quashing of FIR.

8. The parties are present before this Court in-person today and have been identified by their learned Counsel and concerned Investigating Officer. The parties have endorsed the amicable Settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily and have undertaken to remain bound by the terms of the Settlement.

9. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled vide Settlement Deed dated 04.02.2025 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

10. The present Petition has been signed by the Petitioners and is supported by their Affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the Settlement Deed dated 04.02.2025 and they submit that the said Settlement has been arrived at between the parties, without any pressure and coercion.



11. The Respondent No. 2 states that she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.
12. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.
13. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the aforesaid FIR in question.
14. Accordingly, FIR No.0510/2020 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS: New Ashok Nagar and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.
15. Petition alongwith pending Application, is disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

JULY 2, 2025/R