



\$~49

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1835/2024, CRL.M.A. 17860/2024 (stay)

EKTA BHASKAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anurag Andley, Mr. Aditya  
Andley and Mr. Tanmay Gupta  
Advocates for P2.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi ASC CRL with Mr.  
Sangeet Sibou Mr. Aniket Kumar  
Singh and Mr. Priyansh Raj Singh  
Senger, Advocates for State.  
Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate for  
Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**

**10.12.2025**

%

1. Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 65/2024 under Section 408/500/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 dated 13.05.2024 registered at Police Station Barakhamba Road.
2. Learned ASC on behalf of the State submits that that the Charge-Sheet has already been filed and the Petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 have been placed in Column No. 12. Insofar as, the Petitioner No. 1 is concerned, the matter has already been compromised. Since the Charge-Sheet has already been filed, no ground remains for quashing of the said FIR. The contentions herein raised herein may be raised before the learned Trial Court.
3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner has submitted that no FIR for the



offence under Section 500 IPC could have been registered, in view of Section 199 Cr.P.C.

4. Learned ASC on behalf of the State has clarified that the Charge-Sheet has been filed only under Section 408/34 IPC.

5. The Petition is disposed of with liberty to the Petitioners to raise the contentions mentioned herein before the learned Trial Court. Pending Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J**

**DECEMBER 10, 2025/RS**