



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7940/2025**

SMT RACHNA PROTHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Satyender Chahar and Mr. A.M.
Nair, Advs. (Through VC)

versus

DELHI JAL BOARD & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Riddhi Jain, Adv. with Ms.
Shayna Das Pattanayak and Ms.
Shriya Jaiswal, Advs. for R-3
Ms. Malvi Balyan, Adv. on behalf of
Ms. Sangeeta Bharti, SC for DJB
Mr. Arjun Mahajan, SC with Mr.
Apoorv Upmanyu and Mr. Harsh
Vashist, Advs. for MCD

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

07.11.2025

%

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following prayers:

“

- a) Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ,
order or direction directing Respondent No. 1 to process
the Petitioner's application dated 08.02.2025 (Reference
No. 113477376810) and grant an independent water
connection to the Petitioner for the Second Floor of
property bearing No. 27, Community Centre, Saket, New



Delhi – 110017, without imposing any illegal or arbitrary infrastructure charges;

b) Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing Respondent No. 2 to take immediate action against the unauthorised construction and amalgamation carried out on the third floor of Buildings No. 27 and 28, Community Centre, Saket, New Delhi – 110017, in accordance with law;

c) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction restraining Respondent No. 3 from interfering with the Petitioner's lawful use and access of common areas of the building, including the terrace, which are necessary for the Petitioner's maintenance and usage of essential services;

„

2. As regards prayer (a) seeking grant of an independent water connection to the petitioner, this Court is informed that the petitioner has already been granted an independent water connection by the Delhi Jal Board (“DJB”), and thus, prayer (a) stands satisfied.

3. As regards prayer (b), learned counsel appearing for the Municipal Corporation of Delhi (“MCD”) submits that there is no unauthorized construction existing in the property in question.

4. Accordingly, no orders are required to be passed by this Court *qua*



prayer (b).

5. As regards prayer (c), i.e., for directions to restrain respondent no. 3 from interfering with the petitioner's lawful use and access of common areas of the building, this Court notes the submission on behalf of respondent no. 3 that no hindrance is being created with respect to the petitioner's use and access of the common areas of the building in question.

6. This Court further notes that there is a civil suit already pending between the parties.

7. Noting the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition.

8. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2025/KR