



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9452/2019 & CM APPL. 38888/2019**

ANIL ARORA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anil Goel, Mr. Aditya Goel, Mr.
Pranjal Sharma, Advs.

versus

**REGISTRAR OF COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES
AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, Ms. Shaoni Das,
Advs. for R-1 along with Mr. Satish
Kumar, S.O., RCS.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

%

12.09.2025

1. Heard the respective counsels for the parties.
2. The prayer in the petition is by member of the Society/Respondent No.2 to command the allotment of 'B' Category residential flat in compliance with the award dated 27th July 2016.
3. Pursuant to the orders of this Court, the *Registrar Co-operative Societies ('RCS')* has filed an affidavit of compliance wherein a categorical statement is made that the execution of the award shall be taken to its logical end, in any case, within a period of four months from today.



4. Since the statement is coming on instructions through an authority, we accept the same.
5. We, as such, permit the petitioner and the representative of Respondent No.2 (Society) to appear before the Respondent no.1/RCS on 17th September 2025 with all the relevant documents.
6. The RCS shall look into the claim put forth by the petitioner in the matter of allotment of 'B' or 'D' category flat as against the vacant flats available with the respondent-society.
7. The *Respondent-Registrar* shall equally be sensitive to the vacancy of the flat, if any, to be occurred in case of cancellation of allotment, as has been sought to be demonstrated before this court through *para 6* of the brief submission of Respondent no.2 -Society.
8. We make it clear that we will not be granting any further extension to the respondent/RCS in the matter of taking the award in question to its logical end.
9. As such, the petition stands disposed of in above terms.
10. We make it clear that it shall be open for the aggrieved party to approach afresh.
11. We further make it clear that we haven't expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter and it is purely for the RCS to take the award in question passed by its office to its logical end.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

SEPTEMBER 12, 2025/sky/AS