



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1807/2025**

TARUN SHARMA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Sakshi Arora, Mr. Sarthak Sharma, Mr. Hitesh Pandey, Ms. Divya Mangla, Advocates along with Petitioner no. 5 in person.
Petitioners no. 1 to 4 through Vc.

versus

THE STATE GNCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel (Crl.) for the State with Ms. Priyam Agarwal, Mr. Abhinav Kumar and Mr. Aryan Sachdeva, Advocates.
SI Tulsi, P.S. Welcome.
Mr. Aasheesh Sharma, Advocate for R-2 along with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **04.07.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CRL.M.A. 16907/2025 (exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application is disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1807/2025

3. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the BNSS seeks quashing of the FIR No. 532/2024, under Sections 354B/498A/406/506/34 of the IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at P.S. Welcome.

4. The marriage between petitioner no.1/husband and respondent



no.2/wife was solemnized on 22.04.2022 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies.

5. No child was born out of the said wedlock.

6. Due to matrimonial differences between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2, the parties started residing separately from 23.03.2023. Subsequently, respondent no.2/complainant lodged an FIR against the petitioners.

7. On 05.10.2024, parties have arrived at a settlement before the Family Court, Shahdara, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi and as per the said settlement deed, petitioner no.1 has agreed to pay an amount of Rs. 1,50,000/- to the respondent no.2/wife towards full and final settlement of all her claims including *istridhan*, permanent alimony and maintenance - present, past and future.

8. In terms of the said settlement, the marriage between the parties stands dissolved by a decree of divorce dated 03.01.2025, passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court (Shahdara), Karkardooma Courts. Further, as per the settlement deed, the settlement amount of Rs. 1,50,000/- has already been paid to respondent no.2 who acknowledges the receipt of the same.

9. Petitioner Nos. 1 to 4 appears through video conferencing, petitioner no. 5 and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as the Investigating Officer, SI Tulsi, P.S. Welcome.

10. The complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and she has no objection if the FIR is quashed. She further states that all the terms of the agreement have been complied with.

11. Learned Standing Counsel (Crl.) for the State submits that investigation in the present FIR is pending and chargesheet is yet to be filed, however, in view of the settlement between the parties, he has no objection if the present



FIR is quashed.

12. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

13. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 532/2024, under Sections 354B/498A/406/506/34 of the IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at P.S. Welcome.

14. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 532/2024, under Sections 354B/498A/406/506/34 of the IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at P.S. Welcome, is hereby quashed.

15. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

16. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

JULY 4, 2025/bsr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)