



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RSA 100/2024
SH. ATUL GUPTAAppellant
Through: None.
versus

SURESH KUMAR BANSALRespondent
Through: Mr. Ajay Bahl, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER
17.12.2025

%

No one is present on behalf of the appellant when the matter is called-out.

Mr. Ajay Bahl, learned counsel for the respondent submits, that possession of the suit property has already been surrendered to the respondent through bailiff.

Order dated 31.07.2025 shows that learned counsel for the appellant submitted, that he had instructions to withdraw the appeal but in the meantime, the appellant has passed-away; and the counsel had sought time to file an appropriate application to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased appellant.

Thereafter, no one has appeared on behalf of the appellant on 18.11.2025 and 27.11.2025.

No application for substitution of the legal representatives has been filed either.

In the circumstances, it appears that the legal representatives of the appellant are not interested in pursuing the appeal.



The appeal is accordingly dismissed in default and for non-prosecution.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

DECEMBER 17, 2025
ds