



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7551/2025 & CM APPL. 33656/2025

NASRUDIN

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vikrant N Goyal, Mr. Piyush Wadhwa, Mr. Harsh Kumar Singh, Mr. Kunal Dixit and Mr. R. Singh, Advs. for R-2 to 4.

Mob: 9953228888

Email: vngoyal@gmail.com

Mr. Mohd. Furqan, Adv. for R-6 & 7 (Through VC)

Mr. Piyush Wadhwa, Mr. Vikrant N. Goyal, Mr. Harsh Kumar Singh and Mr. Kunal Dixit, Advs. for R-2 to 4 (Through VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

20.11.2025

%

1. None appears for the petitioner when the matter is called out.
2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking directions to the respondent nos. 1 to 5, to take action against the illegal and unauthorized construction raised by respondent nos. 6 and 7 at the properties bearing Nos. 6234 and 6234-A, measuring approximately 240 square yards, situated at *Kucha Shiv Mandir, Gali Batashan, Near Fatehpuri Masjid, Delhi-110006 (MCD Ward No. 79)*.



3. On the hearing conducted on 28th May, 2025, this Court had noted the statement made by learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 4, i.e., Archaeological Survey of India (“ASI”), that the officials of the ASI had duly visited and inspected the properties question, after receipt of the present petition. However, *property No. 6234*, as mentioned in the present writ petition, could not be located.

4. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 4 reiterates the said position and submits that the said property cannot be located.

5. This Court further notes the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the ASI that the officials of the ASI found on-going construction in the property bearing *No. 6230*. However, construction in the said property was found to be carried out pursuant to a Sanctioned Building Plan.

6. Learned counsel appearing for respondent nos. 6 and 7 submits that the property of the respondent nos. 6 and 7 is the property bearing *No. 6230*. He submits that the construction is being carried out as per Sanctioned Building Plan.

7. Accordingly, considering the aforesaid submissions, no orders are required to be passed by this Court.

8. The present writ petition, along with the pending application, is accordingly disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

NOVEMBER 20, 2025/SK