



\$~65

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3877/2025 & CRL.M.A. 16941/2025

RAMESH KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.R.K.Solanki, Ms.Vanita, Adv.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT) DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr.P.Sharma, Adv. along with R in person.

Mr.Jitender in person.

Mr.Digam Singh Dagar, APP

SI Somika with IO SI Surender, Ps Bindapur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

% 21.08.2025

1. The petition herein is for a compromise quashing of an FIR No. 1123/2015 dated 10.08.2015 registered at Police Station Bindapur, South-West, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 452, 323, 34 of the IPC and all further proceedings emanating there from.
2. The complainant, Jitender Kumar, alleged that his neighbours forcibly entered his house, assaulted his wife and daughters, and damaged household items. the FIR was thus registered.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present case arose out of a trivial neighbourhood misunderstanding which has since been amicably resolved between the parties through a duly executed compromise



deed. As the complainant has no objection to the quashing of the FIR, it is argued that continuation of criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose and only subject both sides to unnecessary harassment.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents/complainants and the learned Public Prosecutor confirm the fact of compromise and state that they have no objection if the FIR in question is quashed.

5. In this backdrop, I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The parties are present in Court today. They are immediate neighbours. Upon interaction, they candidly submitted that the incident occurred in the heat of the moment; what began as a minor altercation spiralled into an unfortunate scuffle involving both sides. They have expressed regret for the incident and acknowledged that filing of the FIR was a step taken in anger. Having now resolved their differences, the complainant has unequivocally stated that he does not wish to pursue the matter further.

7. Moreover, Continuance of proceedings will serve no purpose since the parties are immediate neighbours, and keeping the FIR alive would only rekindle hostility and disturb neighbourhood peace, whereas the compromise ensures restoration of cordial relations. The dispute also arises purely out of a personal neighbourhood quarrel with no element of public wrong or offence against society at large. In such matters, once parties have amicably settled their differences, continuation of proceedings is unwarranted and closure is in the larger interest of justice.

8. In the premise, applying the ratio in decision of ***Gian Singh v State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303***, I deem it just and proper to invoke



inherent powers of this Court under 528 of BNSS to avoid undue hardship to the private parties for mutual good relations and societal peace.

9. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 1123/2015 dated 10.08.2015 registered at Police Station Bindapur, South- West, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 452, 323, 34 of the IPC, and proceedings emanating therefrom, against the petitioners, are hereby quashed.

10. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 21, 2025
RN