



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3859/2025 & CRL.M.A. 16872/2025**

NARENDER KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel for petitioner (appearance
not given)

Petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State.
SI Bhoop Singh, ASI Sher Singh, PS Bindapur
Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

22.09.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No. 484/2022 registered at Police Station – Bindapur on 01.07.2022, for offences punishable under Sections 354D/509/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 26.05.2022, respondent no. 2, while returning from Arya Samaj Road, Uttam Nagar, was allegedly stopped by the petitioner, her brother-in-law, who is stated to have abused her and threatened to kill her and her son if she reported the matter to the police. Respondent no. 2 lodged a complaint, on the basis of which the present FIR was lodged.



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that charges have been framed and the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Memorandum of Understanding (“Settlement”) dated 27.02.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure-C (colly). *Qua* this settlement deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 484/2022 registered at Police Station – Bindapur against the petitioner.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the settlement dated 27.02.2025.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Bindapur. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified the counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No.484/2022 registered at Police Station – Bindapur, for offences punishable under Sections 354D/509/506 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

14. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 22, 2025/AS/dd