



\$~87

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3785/2025**

ABHISHEK CHAMOLI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajiv Kr. Virmani, Mr. Shubham Pandey, Ms. Surbhi Rashmi, Ms. Jayeeta Deb Sarkar and Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the State with SI Krishna, PS – Dwarka, Sector – 23.
Mr. Prabhash, Advocate for respondent no. 2 along with respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

%

12.08.2025

CRL.M.A. 23684/2025

The instant application has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking early hearing of the petition.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Main case is taken up on board today.

The application stands disposed of.



CRL.M.C. 3785/2025

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 349/2022 dated 13.09.2022 lodged under Sections 498A, 504, 506, 34 IPC and Sections 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at P.S. Ramamurthy Nagar, Bangalore based on compromise arrived between the parties *vide* Settlement Deed dated 10.03.2025 and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Dispute between the parties arose from the matrimonial discord between Petitioner No.1 (husband) and complainant/Respondent no.2 (wife). The Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No. 2 were married on 06.12.2021 according to Hindu rites and one child was born out of the wedlock. Due to irreconcilable differences, the parties started living separately in 13.09.2022.

2.1 Petitioner No. 2 is the father of the Petitioner No.1.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute *vide* Settlement Deed dated 10.03.2025 which is placed on record (**Annexure-P-7**). Learned counsel further submits that the affidavit to the effect of no objection to quashing deposed by respondent No.2/ complainant is also placed on record.

4. Parties are present in Court. I have interacted with the complainant/ wife and she has no objection to quashing of the FIR. She candidly states that she has entered into the settlement out of her free volition, without any duress or coercion. She states that she does not wish to press any charges against the petitioners having amicably settled the dispute. Pursuant to the settlement, she points out that marriage also stands dissolved *vide* a decree dated 10.07.2025 passed by competent Family Court. As regards the compliance of the settlement, complainant states that the same has been



complied with by her ex-husband to her full and final satisfaction.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent no. 2 as well as perused the material available on record.

6. Since the complainant/ wife does not wish to press charges against the petitioners and there is no incriminating material against them coupled with the fact of compromise, further proceedings before the Court would be an abuse of the process of law. Especially, when the dispute does not involve any public interest or interest of the society at large. Continuation of proceedings may rather result in hostility between the parties and defeat the very purpose of settlement.

7. The trial would thus serve no fruitful purpose and will be an exercise in futility. Whereas non-quashing of the FIR would also defeat the very object of settlement.

8. Therefore, further proceedings deserve to be quashed in exercise of the inherent powers of the Court. Reference in this context may also be made to judgment rendered in the case of **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]**.

9. Accordingly, in the larger interest of justice, invoking inherent powers vested with this Court under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, the FIR No. 349/2022 dated 13.09.2022 lodged under Sections 498A, 504, 506, 34 IPC and Sections 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station Ramamurthy Nagar, Bangalore and all other proceedings arising there from are quashed.



10. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

11. The earlier date fixed in the matter, i.e. 15.09.2025 shall stand cancelled.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 12, 2025

kd/rs