



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3754/2025 & CRL.M.A. 16486/2025**

SHYAM SUNDAR GARG & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Kannupriya Paliwal and
Mr. Harsh Gupta, Advs. with the petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE, NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with SI Loveleen, PS MOTi Nagar.

Mr. Nitin Pandey, Mr. Karan Kaushik and
Mr. Rohit Gupta, Advs. for R-2 with the
respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

07.08.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'CrPC') read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter 'BNSS') has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR 471/2018, registered at Police Station - Moti Nagar on 25.12.2018, for the offences punishable under Sections 354/354(B)/506/323/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the brief facts of the case are that on 24.12.2018, respondent no. 2 lodged an FIR against the petitioners alleging that a scuffle took place amongst them where the petitioners passed abusive remarks and manhandled respondent



no. 2.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have now settled all their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is thus prayed that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

4. Learned APP for the State submitted that there is no opposition to the prayer made on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer, Police Station Moti Nagar. Respondent 2 is also present in person along with her counsel and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into this compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Further, parties have undertaken to abide by the terms of the compromise.

8. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably and without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

9. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303**, of FIR 471/2018, registered at Police Station - Moti Nagar on 25.12.2018, for the offences punishable under Sections 354/354(B)/506/323/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, and all the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.



10. The petition, along with pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.
11. Let the Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no. 2 be filed within a period of three days.

AUGUST 7, 2025
Sk/ryp

AJAY DIGPAUL, J