



\$~90 & 92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 837/2025**

VIKESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. P Sureshan Advocate**

versus

RAJWINDER SINGH BHATTI

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Anshuman Kumar, SPC with Mr. Dushyant Pratap Singh, GP and Ms. Stuti Wahad, Advocate for UOI.**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 839/2025**

ARUNACHALAM

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. P Sureshan Advocate**

versus

RAJWINDER SINGH BHATTI

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Viprav Acharya, Senior Panel Counsel, Advocate for UOI.
Mr. Vijay Joshi, Sr. Panel Counsel,
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Mr. G.S. Rathore,
Mr. Pranchal Devender, SI CISF.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

26.05.2025

[Hearing has been conducted through Video Conferencing]



1. This petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of order dated 10th December 2024, passed by this Court in **W.P (C) No. 13734/2019**, wherein directions had been given for reinstatement in the following terms:

30. Having considered the above, we are of the view that the punishment of “removal from service with immediate effect” awarded to the petitioners is liable to be set aside. We, therefore, set aside the Orders of the Disciplinary Authorities dated 15.10.2018, along with the Appellate Orders dated 11.02.2019 as also the Revisional Orders dated 23.07.2019 and 23/24.08.2019. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners were removed from service in the year 2019 and have been without a job for the past five years and they would be satisfied with their reinstatement in the Service.

31. In light of the above submission, we are of the view that being out of service for more than five years will act as a sufficient deterrent to the petitioners. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to reinstate the petitioners in service immediately, albeit without any consequential benefits and back wages.

32. The writ petitions stand disposed of in aforesaid terms.

2. It is stated by counsel for respondent, who appears on an advance notice, states that a Special Leave Petition (SLP) had been filed, which has been dismissed by the Supreme Court on 16th May 2025.

3. Counsel for respondent assured the Court that compliance will be done within the next eight weeks and at the earliest.

4. They shall file a compliance affidavit with a copy to counsel for petitioner.

5. In the event, compliance is not made, Concerned Officer will be liable to be proceeded ahead for contempt.



6. No further extension shall be granted on the timeline which is stipulated.
7. Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revive the petition in case if there is any further infraction.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 26, 2025/RK/tk