



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 1728/2024**
P.P. RAVEENDRANPetitioner
Through: None.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC for the
State with SI Sonu Kumar Jha, PS
Ambedkar Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **07.02.2025**

CRL.M.A. 16865/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exception.
2. Accordingly, application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1728/2024

3. None appears on behalf of the Petitioner.
4. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking quashing of the FIR No. 0203 dated 28.03.2022 under Sections 420/406 Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC) registered at Police Station Ambedkar Nagar Delhi.
5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel states that there is no merit in this petition.
 - 5.1 He states that the investigation is mostly complete and after retrieving the original cheque from the District Court at Kerala the charge-sheet shall also be filed within period of six (6) weeks.
 - 5.2 He states that the Petitioner herein has priors and refers to FIR



No.0594/2023 and FIR No. 409/2022. He relies upon the order dated 05.03.2024 passed by the Coordinate Bench in W.P.(C) 2184/2023 dismissing this Petitioner's petition seeking quashing of FIR No. 409/2022. He states that the order dated 05.03.2024 as well records the submission that the Petitioner herein has a *modus operandi* of inducing unwary victims to issue cheques by inducing them, without there being any legal liability.

5.3 He states that the Petitioner follows the *modus operandi* where he lures victim such as the complainant, to issue cheques to him with the promise of securing admissions to Educational Institutions for the child of the issuer of the cheque (victim), which promise held out by the Petitioner turns out to be false; however, the Petitioner fails to return the cheque to the victim.

5.4 He also places reliance on the order dated 28.02.2024 passed by the coordinate bench in Bail Application No. 2625/2023 denying anticipatory bail to the Petitioner.

5.5 He states therefore there is no merit in this case.

6. In view of the submissions made by the learned Standing Counsel and the facts of this case, this Court is satisfied that the present petition seeking quashing of the FIR, at this stage is without any merits.

7. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. Pending applications (if any) are disposed of. The Petitioner shall be entitled to raise all its defences during trial, in accordance with law.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J
FEBRUARY 7, 2025/PU

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)