



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 799/2025**

VLCC PERSONAL CARE LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shikhar Mittal, Advocate.

versus

**SATISH KUMAR (SOLE PROPRIETOR OF
S. PERFECT)**

.....Respondent

Through: Advocate through video conferencing
(Appearance not given)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

06.08.2025

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking appointment of a Sole Arbitrator under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter 'Act of 1996') in terms of the arbitration clause [Clause 10] contained in the Agreement dated 15th June 2022, entered into between the petitioner and the respondent.
2. Notice in the present petition was issued on 23rd May, 2025.
3. Counsel appearing for the respondent does not object to the appointment of an Arbitrator.
4. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the dispute between the parties under the Agreement is referred to the Arbitral Tribunal comprising a Sole Arbitrator. The following directions are issued in this regard:

- a. Mr. Sandeep Chilana, Advocate (Mobile No.: +91



9910084446), is appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes between the parties.

b. The arbitral proceedings shall be held under the aegis of the Delhi International Arbitration Centre, Delhi High Court, Sher Shah Road, New Delhi (hereinafter '**DIAC**').

c. The remuneration of the Arbitrator shall be in terms of the DIAC (Administrative Cost and Arbitrators' Fees) Rules, 2018.

d. The Arbitrator is requested to furnish a declaration in terms of Section 12 of the Act prior to entering into the reference. In the event there is any impediment to the Arbitrator's appointment on that count, the parties are given liberty to file an appropriate application before this Court.

e. The parties shall approach the Arbitrator within two (2) weeks from today.

5. It is made clear that all the rights and contentions of the parties, including preliminary objections with regard to limitation and/ or arbitrability of any of the claims as well as claims/ counter-claims of either of the parties on the merits of the dispute including the defence of the respondent that no amount is due and payable to the petitioner, are left open for adjudication by the Arbitrator.

6. The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

7. Needless to state, nothing in this order shall be construed as an expression of this Court on the merits of the case.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 6, 2025/at