



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 163/2025, CM APPL. 31299/2025

RAJESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. S. C. Singhal and Mr. Atul
Kharbanda, Mr. Kapil Sethi, Ms.
Anshika, Mr. Vijay Kumar and Ms.
Sonia Kakran, Advs.

versus

SAVINDER KAUR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yash Gupta, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

16.12.2025

1. At the outset, learned counsel for respondents/ landlords, without going into the merits involved in the present petition, seek a remand of the present petition after the impugned order dated 13.01.2025, passed by the learned ACJ/CCJ/ARC (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi (***learned ARC***) is set aside and the leave to defend is granted to the petitioner/ tenant.

2. *In response*, learned counsel for the petitioner/ tenant submits that he has no objection and/ or grievance if the impugned order dated 13.01.2025 rejecting the application seeking leave to defend of the petitioner/ tenant herein is set aside and the parties are relegated for appropriate proceedings before the learned ARC thereafter.

3. As such, based on the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, and also in the interest of justice, the leave to



defend is hereby granted to the petitioner/ tenant and the impugned order dated 13.01.2025 is set aside. Resultantly, the parties are hereby remanded back before the learned ARC for participating in a full-fledged trial.

4. A copy of the present order be sent to the learned Principal District and Session Judge (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi for information and compliance.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

DECEMBER 16, 2025/So