



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3595/2025 & CRL.M.A. 15830/2025

GAJANAN KRUSHI BHANDAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Jay Nirupam, Advocate (through
VC)

versus

SYNGENTA INDIA PVT LTD & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

28.08.2025

1. Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed against the Order dated 05.03.2025 of learned JMFC in Complaint Case No. 22728/2024 under Section 138 NI Act. The impugned Order reads as under:-

“74 CC NI ACT-22728-2024, 22729-2024 22730-2024, 22731-2024, 22732-2024, 22733-2024 & 22734-2024 (7 cases)

Syngenta India Pvt Ltd Vs. Gajanan Krushi Bhandar -0

05.03.2025

***Proceedings conducted through video conferencing
via Cisco Webex***

App.

***Present: Sh Balasubramanian Ramesh, Ld. Counsel for
complainant.***

***Ld counsel for complainant has moved an
application for condonation of delay. Let it be taken on
record.***



Let a notice be issued to proposed accused along with application for condonation of delay on filing of PF within 15 days, returnable on 01.05.2025.

Put up for appearance of proposed accused on 01.05.2025.”

2. There is no adverse order made rather an advance Notice has been given to the Petitioners with liberty to address arguments about the condonation of delay and also whether any cognizance on the Complaint is made out or not.

3. There is absolutely no incriminating order against the Petitioners. The Petition alongwith pending Application, is accordingly disposed of with liberty to the Petitioner to appear before the learned Trial Court and make the submissions raised herein.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

AUGUST 28, 2025

N