



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1872/2024**

AIZAZ KILICHEVA @ AZIZA @ MAYAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Varun Narang, Mr. Manik
Dhingra & Mr. Arvind Gulati,
Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for the State
with Insp. Manoj Dahiya,
AHO/Crime Branch.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

30.01.2025

CRL.M.A. 2976/2025

By way of the present application filed under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973, the petitioner seeks modification of bail condition imposed *vide* para 46.1 of judgment dated 21.01.2025, whereby this court has admitted the petitioner to regular bail. The condition reads as follows :

“46.1. The petitioner shall furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) with 02 local sureties in the like amount, one of which must be from a family member, to the satisfaction of the learned trial court;”

2. Mr. Varun Narang, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner is a foreign national she is not in a position to furnish surety from a *family member*. He further argues



that the amount of personal bond and surety, namely Rs. 50,000/- is also excessive. Counsel accordingly prays that the aforesaid condition be modified appropriately to make it possible for the petitioner to comply therewith.

3. Upon being queried as to why the petitioner is unable to furnish surety of her *pairokar*, who is her sister and had filed the affidavit in support of the bail petition, counsel submits that though the petitioner's sister as well as her son are presently in India, they do not have any underlying assets to furnish the requisite surety.
4. Counsel however expresses that petitioner would be able to furnish a personal bond and 02 *local* sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each, without the condition that at least one of the sureties should be from a family member.
5. Issue notice.
6. Ms. Manjeet Arya, learned APP appears for the State; accepts notice; and leaves it to the court to pass appropriate orders.
7. Taking a sympathetic view of the case, the condition in para 46.1 of judgment dated 21.01.2025 is modified *limited to the extent* that the petitioner is permitted to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing a personal bond in a sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty-five Thousand Only) with 02 *local sureties* in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.
8. All other conditions imposed *vide* judgment dated 21.01.2025 shall remain as they-are.
9. The application is disposed-of in the above terms.



10. A copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signatures of the Court Master.

BAIL APPLN. 1872/2024

11. The bail petition already stood disposed-of *vide* judgment dated 21.01.2025.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 30, 2025/ss