



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 6825/2025 & CM APPL. 30965/2025, CM APPL.
30966/2025

MADAN SINGH GUSAINPetitioner

Through: Mr. A.S. Sirohi, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Sahag Garg, SPC with Mr. Rudra Paliwal, G.P. for respondent no. 1/ UOI.

Mr. Raunak Dhillon, Ms. Isha Malik and Mr. Jeezan Riyaz, Advocates for respondent no. 1.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Standing Counsel with Mr. Yash Narain, Advocate for respondent no. 2/ EPFO.

Ms. Raavi Birbal, Advocate for respondents no. 3 to 6.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

% **09.07.2025**

1. At the outset, this Court notes that, in the present writ petition, the petitioner has combined various disjunctive reliefs.
2. After some hearing, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he may be granted liberty to file separate writ petitions pertaining to the reliefs claimed.
3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent nos. 3 to 6 submits that the



present writ petition is not maintainable, as respondents nos. 3 to 6, are not State.

4. Likewise, learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 1, i.e., Union of India (“UOI”), submits that present writ petition is not maintainable.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition.

6. Therefore, without going into the merits of the case, the present writ petition, along with the pending applications, is allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

7. Needless to state that the rights and contentions of all the parties are left open to be raised in appropriate proceedings.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

JULY 9, 2025/kd