



\$~27

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 2415/2021

NAROTTAM SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yash Anand, Mr. Ashish
Dhoundiyal and Mr. Amritesh
Krishna, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for State with SI
Rahul, P.S.R.K.Puram.
Mr. Gautam Khazanchi, Mr. Vaibhav
Dubey and Ms. Pooja Deepak,
Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

07.04.2025

%

1. Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed for quashing of FIR No.022851/2021, under Section 379 IPC, P.S.R.K.Puram.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the Petitioner that Petitioner was working with the Respondent Company, since 1981. He after achieving superannuation, was co-opted by the Management from time to time in Advisory post under specific Contract for fixed remuneration. He is still associated with the Company under 2020-2022 contract.
3. Recently, the Management has been taken over by another Group and on demand of remaining gratuity and other emoluments totalling to Rs.87 lakhs, the present FIR under Section 379 IPC has been registered by Sh. Ashish Dhanuka on the allegations that on 23.08.2021 at about 20.17 PM car bearing No.DL 3CCM 7515, which



was in possession of the Petitioner since 2017 when it was purchased, is stolen by the Petitioner.

4. It is further asserted that in the Status Report dated 02.09.2021 filed on behalf of the Police, it was alleged that Petitioner has not cooperated, when in fact the truth was told to the IO. He completely ignored not only the facts but also the photographs of the car showing the Petitioner and his wife performing Puja in the year 2017. He also produced Toll slips showing uninterrupted possession of the car.

5. The Police without verifying the truth and the veracity of the theft theory, formed a biased opinion and stated that they would investigate the Complaint. It is claimed that the allegation of theft of car, are totally baseless and, therefore, the FIR may be quashed.

6. **Learned counsel on behalf of the Respondent No.3** submits on instructions that the Complainant is not inclined to pursue his Complaint since the car has been returned during the pendency of the present proceedings.

7. **Learned APP for the State** submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

8. Considering the nature of allegations made in the FIR and also that the Complainant has no objection to the quashing of the FIR, FIR No.022851/2021, under Section 379 IPC, P.S.R.K.Puram and all the proceedings emanating therefrom, are hereby quashed.

9. Petition is disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 7, 2025/rk