



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4260/2024**

VINOD KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners in person with Counsel.
versus

STATE / GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP.
Mr. Sachin Bansal and Ms. Arti
Sharma, Advocates for R-2 with
Respondent No.2 in person.

19

W.P.(CRL) 866/2024

SHIV GUPTA & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sachin Bansal and Ms. Arti
Sharma, Advocates with Petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC with
Respondent Nos.2 and 3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

17.10.2025

1. CRL.M.C. 4260/2024 under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners for quashing of FIR No.181/2022 dated 29.03.2022 under Sections 420/467/468/471/448/506/120B IPC, registered at PS: Maidan Garhi; and W.P.(CRL) 866/2024 under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners for quashing of FIR No.172/2022 dated 24.03.2022 under



Sections 457/427/34 IPC, registered at PS: Maidan Garhi. Both in terms of Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 06.05.2023.

2. Parties are present in person. It is submitted that the dispute arose between the parties in respect of property of vacant land, for which a civil suit has also been filed. However, all the disputes have been amicably settled between the parties and possession of property has been handed over to Shiv Gupta (Petitioner No.1 in W.P.(CRL) 866/2024) and nothing further remains.

3. It is submitted that with the intervention of family members, relatives and well wishers, parties have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them vide MoU dated 06.05.2023.

4. The parties are present in the Court and have been identified by their respective Counsel. The parties submit that they have arrived at the settlement without any force, coercion and undue influence and pressure and they have signed the MoU dated 06.05.2023 with their wish and will.

5. Learned Counsel for Petitioners submits that the parties have no grievance against each other. Since Respondent No.2 in respective matter has already made a statement before the Court that he does not want to pursue the proceedings in FIR, the present Petition be allowed and FIRs in question be quashed.

6. In view of MoU dated 06.05.2023, present Petition has been filed.

7. Respondent No.2 in both cases are present and have no grievance against the respective Petitioners and also no objection to the quashing of FIR in question.

8. In view of the fact that the dispute between the parties has been amicably resolved in terms of MoU dated 06.05.2023 and that parties have given no objection to the quashing of FIR, no fruitful purpose would be



served in continuing with the proceedings arising out of the FIR.

9. Consequently, both FIRs, bearing FIR No.181/2022 under Sections 420/467/468/471/448/506/120B IPC and FIR No.172/2022 under Sections 457/427/34 IPC, both registered at PS: Maidan Garhi, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. Both the Petitions along with pending Application are disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

OCTOBER 17, 2025/R