



\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 865/2024 CM APPL. 38776/2024 CM APPL.**
58108/2024
SANJAY YADAVPetitioner

Through:

versus

SHRI GYANESH BHARTI COMMISSIONER &
ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Hasi Buddin, Adv. for R-5.
Ms. Saroj Bidawat, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
02.04.2025

%

1. Counsel for petitioner *Ms. Akshaya Jebakumar*, seeks to withdraw their *vakalatnama*.
2. Counsel for MCD states that the appeal by the private respondent (*nos. 4-5*), before the ATMCD, has been decided and the matter had been remanded back to MCD, to decide the matter afresh, after giving a personal hearing to the private respondent, which is now fixed for 4th April 2025.
3. Accordingly, since the demolition order now stands set aside, the contempt petition would be rendered infructuous, since the directions which were noted by the Court's order dated 25th April 2023 were that the appeal of respondent nos. 4 and 5 was pending and the Appellate Tribunal may take



requisite steps in that regard.

4. Accordingly, this contempt petition is disposed of.
5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 2, 2025/RK/kp