



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3472/2025

ADISH JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Achint Kumar, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP on behalf of
Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for the State with
Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Adv.
SI Subhash Kumar, PS Maurya Enclave.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

30.07.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR no. 154/2025 registered at Police Station Maurya Enclave, Delhi on 14.04.2025, for offences punishable under Section 125 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNS").
2. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and by the Investigating Officer, Police Station Maurya Enclave. Respondent 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.
3. The FIR in question stems from an incident involving the inadvertent falling of an electric pole during excavation work being carried out in the Petitioner's property located at Pitampura, New Delhi.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably through Memorandum of understanding (MoU) dated 30.04.2025.
5. On a query by the Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into this compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties, and that the petitioner has also made payment towards the replacement of the electric pole which had collapsed.
6. A copy of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 30.04.2025 has been annexed as Annexure-P2 to the petition. On the basis of this MoU, respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR 154/2025 registered at Police Station Maurya Enclave.
7. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
9. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.
10. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303***, FIR 154/2025 registered at Police Station Maurya Enclave on 14.04.2025, for offences punishable under Section 125 of the BNS, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed, subject to payment of costs of Rs.25,000/- payable by the petitioner to the DHCSLSA within a period of four weeks from today. The receipt of payment is to be deposited with, and to be verified by, the concerned IO, who shall communicate its receipt or



lack thereof to the registry.

11. The petition, along with pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

JULY 30, 2025/AS/av