



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 376/2022**

MANDEEP SINGH @ MANIAppellant
Through: Ms.Gayatri Nandwani, Ms.Mudita
Sharda and Mr.Adrian Abbi, Advocates with
appellant through V.C.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent
Through: Ms.Shubhi Gupta, APP for State with
SI Ved Prakash

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **04.08.2025**

1. By way of the present appeal, the appellant seeks to assail the judgement of conviction dated 04.03.2022 and order on sentence dated 26.04.2022, passed by learned ASJ-02, South-East, Saket, Delhi, in SC No.2756/2016 arising out of FIR No.124/2011 registered under Sections 324/307/34 IPC at P.S. Jaitpur.

Vide order on sentence, the appellant was directed to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of 4 years for the offence punishable under Section 308 IPC. The benefit of Section 428 Cr.P.C. was provided to the appellant.

2. Pithily put, the case of the prosecution is that the appellant, alongwith his co-accused *Gurmeet Singh*, attacked the complainant(PW1) and injured eyewitness (PW2) with razors (*ustras*), causing injuries on their faces and other body parts as recorded in the MLC reports in Ex.PW7/A, Ex.PW7/B



which reported the nature of injuries as grievous and simple respectively. The complainant (PW-1), who had accompanied the injured eyewitness to the hospital, recorded his statement, which formed the basis for registration of the FIR. During investigation, the razors allegedly used in the offence were recovered and seized vide seizure memo (Ex. PW1/F).

3. A total of 9 prosecution witnesses were examined, including the injured witnesses (PW-1 and PW-2), both of whom identified the appellant in court. Their testimonies were found to be consistent and duly corroborated with the medical evidence (MLCs Ex. PW7/A and PW7/B) conducted by Dr. Deepak Vats (PW-7). The statement of the appellant was recorded under Section 313 Cr.P.C., wherein he denied the prosecution case and claimed false implication. However, no defence evidence was led, nor was any alternative version offered to explain the incident.

4. At this stage, the appellant has joined the proceedings through V.C. and has been identified by the jail warden Rajbir, Panipat Jail.

5. Having undergone the entire sentence and being aware of the consequences, the appellant does not wish to press the present appeal on merits. The nominal roll dated 02.05.2025 of the appellant is placed on record. As per which, the appellant has completed his entire sentence, including earned remission of 10 months and 27 days the fine imposed of Rs. 500/- also stands paid as on 26.04.2022.

6. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as not pressed, along with pending applications, if any.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 4, 2025

na