



\$~41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1887/2025**

MS. SEEMA (IN J.C.)

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M. Hassibuddin, Advocate

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State
with Insp. Bijender, PS Bindapur
Insp. Raypal Meena, AATS/Outer
Distt.

Mr. V.P. Singh, Mr. Siddharth Gill,
Mr. Deepak Sangwan, Mr. B.N
Sharma, Advocates for Complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

% **16.05.2025**

CRL.M.A. 15209/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption is granted, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Applicant shall file legible and clearer copies of exempted documents, compliant with practice rules, before the next date of hearing.
3. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 1887/2025

4. This is the second bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023¹ (formerly Section 439 of the

¹ "BNSS"



Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973²) seeking regular bail in the proceedings arising from case FIR No. 276/2024 dated 27th June, 2024, registered under Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860³, at P.S. Binda Pur. Subsequently, charge sheet *qua* the Applicant filed on 23rd September, 2024, added the offence of Section 120B of IPC. The supplementary charge sheet, filed on 30th January, 2024, further added the offences of 201 of IPC and 209 of BNS.

5. The Applicant has been in custody since 30th November, 2024. She had earlier applied for bail through BAIL APPLN. 58/2025. On the said date, Counsel for the Applicant, without making arguments, withdrew the application as the chargesheet had just been filed and sought liberty to raise the grounds before the Trial Court at the first stage.

6. Pursuant to the aforementioned liberty granted by the Court, the Applicant applied for bail before the Trial Court, which has been rejected by the Court *vide* order dated 01st May, 2025.

7. After making some submissions, Counsel for the Applicant states that he would like to withdraw the present application and requests that the Trial Court be directed to expedite the trial, so that the statement of material witnesses can be recorded without delay.

8. Dismissed as withdrawn.

9. The Trial Court is requested to conduct the trial as expeditiously as possible and record the statements of the material witnesses without delay.

10. With the above directions, the present bail application is disposed of.

11. The Petitioner shall be free to approach the Trial Court whenever

² “CrPC”

³ “IPC”



there is any material change in circumstances. If and when such an application is filed, the Trial Court shall consider whether there is indeed any change in circumstances, and then decide the matter in accordance with law.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MAY 16, 2025/ab