



\$~102

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6528/2025 and CM APPL. 29689/2025**

SAKTHIVEL G

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aman Goyal, Mr. Kartikay
Kumar and Mr. Shashank Sehdev,
Advocates.

versus

**DIRECTOR CENTRAL GOVERNMENT HEALTH SCHEME &
ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Niraj Kumar, Senior Central
Government Counsel with Mr.
Chaitanya Kumar, Advocate for
R1, R2 and R4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

15.05.2025

1. The grievance raised by the petitioner in this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution are admittedly amenable to the jurisdiction of the Central Administrative Tribunal ["CAT"] under the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. The judgment of the Supreme Court in *L. Chandra Kumar v. Union of India and Others*, (1997) 3 SCC 261, interpreted *inter alia* in a Division Bench decision of this Court in *Parikshit Grewal & Ors. vs. Union of India & Anr.* [LPA 971/2024, decided on 27.09.2024], clearly holds that the writ Court ought not to adjudicate disputes at the first instance, if they fall within the jurisdiction of CAT.



2. After some hearing, Mr. Aman Goyal, learned counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition, with liberty to approach CAT.
3. The writ petition, along with the pending application, is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.
4. A copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signatures of the Court Master.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MAY 15, 2025

UK/kb/