



\$~83

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 6507/2025

MS KAVITA MITTAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arvind Nayyar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Vijay K. Singh, Mr. Himanshu Dubey and Ms. Shruti Manchanda, Advs.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kanika Agnihotri, Standing Counsel with Ms. Suruchi Khandelwal, Adv. for R-1/DDA. Mr. Sandeep P. Agarwal, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Mukul Chandra, Ms. Meenu Agarwal and Ms. Tanya Chawla, Advs. for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

28.05.2025

CM APPL. 29660/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 6507/2025 & CM APPL. 29659/2025 (by the petitioners under Section 151 CPC seeking interim relief)

3. The present petition has been filed seeking following reliefs:

“a. Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus and such other writ, order or direction to Respondent No. 1 and 2 to produce the complete record with respect to the



- issuance of the sanction plan for construction/ re-development/ modification at Plot No.3, District Centre Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi 110075.*
- b. *Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of mandamus or such other writ, order or direction quashing Sanction Plan accorded to the Respondent No.3 vide Sanction of Building Plan with No.CA/BP/0034/2023 dated 21 July, 2023 for construction/ re-development/ development/ renovation/ modification Pinnacle Complex at Plot No.3, City Centre, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi including the property of the Petitioner i.e. property bearing commercial space on the ground floor, having carpet area of 1200 sq. ft. (super area of 2400 sq. ft.) at Plot No.3, City Centre, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi.*
- c. *Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of mandamus and or such other writ, order or direction to Respondent No.3 to restrain from carrying out any construction/ re-development/ development/ renovation/ modification at the Pinnacle Mall/ Hotel Building at Plot No.3, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075.”*

4. Mr. Arvind Nayyar, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner, on instructions, submits that he will not press the petition any further in case a direction is given to respondent no.1/DDA to dispose of the representation made by petitioner, which is at Annexure P-8 to the present petition, in a time bound manner.

5. Having regard to the limited prayer made by Mr. Nayyar, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1/DDA to consider the representation dated 20.09.2024 of petitioner and dispose of the same within a period of six weeks after hearing the petitioner, as well as, respondent no.3.

6. The order passed on the said representation shall be communicated to



the petitioner, as well as, to her counsel within a period of two weeks thereafter.

7. The petitioner is at liberty to approach the court against the order passed by the respondent no.1/DDA, if so advised.
8. The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
9. Consequently, the pending application also stands disposed of.

MAY 28, 2025
aj

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J