



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3418/2025 & CRL.M.A. 15086/2025**

KANWAL JEET SINGH MEHAR @ KAWALJEET SINGH

& ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr Prashant Sivarajan and Ms.
Shweta Divedi along with petitioner no. 1
Petitioner no. 2 (through VC)

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with Ms. Divya Bakshi, Adv.
SI Sandeep Yadav, PS Vasant Kunj North
Mr. Shashank Dewan, Ms. Nikita Dewan, Mr.
Ayush Kumar and Ms. Ananya Saxena, Advs. for
R-2 along with R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

03.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No. 107/2022 registered at Police Station Vasant Kunj North for the offences punishable under Sections 308/325/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 08.02.2022 at about 9 PM, respondent No. 2 and his brother Sandeep were walking in the park at



Pocket B-5 & 6, Vasant Kunj, when they confronted petitioner no. 1 regarding an incident earlier that afternoon in which petitioner no. 2 had allegedly misbehaved with Sandeep's wife. It is alleged that petitioner no. 1 became aggressive, shouted at them and called petitioner no. 2 to the spot, after which both petitioners assaulted respondent no. 2 and Sandeep with an iron bracelet/kada, causing injuries on the head, face and nose of respondent no. 2. The injured were thereafter taken by PCR to Safdarjung Hospital for treatment.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that the respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated for the injuries suffered by him along with his medical expenses by the petitioners. The MLC reports show that the injury sustained by respondent no. 2 is simple in nature, whereas the injury sustained by his brother Sandeep is grievous in nature.

4. Settlement Agreement dated 08.05.2025 is on record and has been annexed as "Annexure P-7". *Qua* this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 107/2022 registered at Police Station Vasant Kunj North against the petitioners.

5. It is further submitted that petitioner no. 2 is presently pursuing his post-graduation in Economics (M.Sc.) at Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland, having completed his undergraduate degree in Economics from Delhi University. Petitioner no. 2 is a young individual still engaged in his studies, and the continued pendency of these proceedings is likely to adversely



impact his future prospects. Although this Court is ordinarily not inclined to exercise its discretion in matters involving grievous injuries, but regard has been to the fact that respondent no. 2 has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioners, and that the future of petitioner no. 2 may be irreparably affected if the matter continues.

6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Vasant Kunj North. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by the counsel and the Investigating Officer.

10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. In view of the fact that the parties were neighbours and they have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.



13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303*, FIR No. 107/2022 registered at Police Station Vasant Kunj North for the offences punishable under Sections 308/325/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

15. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 3, 2025/ar/yr