



\$~6 to 9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1857/2021 & CRL.M.A. 15380/2021**

DR ARCHANA JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Mr. Kunal Sachdeva and Mr. Anubhav Singhal, Advocates.

Versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V.Khatri, Additional Standing Counsel for Respondent-State
Mr. Murari Tiwari, Ms. Payal Dhapar, Mr. Rahul Kumar, Ms. Nimisha Gupta & Mr. Pranshu Prakash, Advocates for Respondent No.2

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1868/2021 & CRL.M.A. 15464/2021**

DR JAIDEEP MALHOTRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Mr. Kunal Sachdeva & Mr. Mehul Gupta, Advocates for

Versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V.Khatri, Additional Standing Counsel for Respondent-State



Mr. Murari Tiwari, Ms. Payal
Dhapar, Mr. Rahul Kumar,
Ms. Nimisha Gupta & Mr. Pranshu
Prakash, Advocates for
Respondent No.2

+ **CRL.M.C. 6561/2023**

RAVINDER KUMAR THUSOOPetitioner
Through: Mr. Arun Francis, Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, Additional
Public Prosecutor for Respondent-
State
Mr. Murari Tiwari, Ms. Payal
Dhapar, Mr. Rahul Kumar,
Ms. Nimisha Gupta & Mr. Pranshu
Prakash, Advocates for
Respondent No-2.

+ **CRL.M.C. 6590/2023**

DENNIS SINGHPetitioner
Through: Mr. Arun Francis, Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, Additional
Public Prosecutor for Respondent-
State

Mr. Murari Tiwari, Ms. Payal
Dhapar, Mr. Rahul Kumar,
Ms. Nimisha Gupta & Mr. Pranshu
Prakash, Advocates for
Respondent No.2



CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
20.03.2025

%

1. These Petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing of FIR No.83/2021, under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Model Town, New Delhi.
2. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for Respondent-State submits that Closure Report stands already filed before the Court of learned Metropolitan Magistrate.
3. Learned Counsel for Petitioners submits that pursuant to file of Closure Report, these Petitions have become infructuous.
4. In view of the aforesaid, these Petitions and pending Applications are disposed of as infructuous and not pressed, without prejudice to the rights of the parties to urge their respective contentions before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MARCH 20, 2025

r