



\$~141

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 835/2022**

MANJIT KAUR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankit Singh Sinsinwar, Adv.
versus

HARMEET SINGH KALKA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Shahi Kri, Mr. Sachin Garg, Ms. Vishruti Pandey, Ms. Arpita Goel, Proxy counsel for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC and Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Adv. for DoE.Mr. Kirtiman Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Abinash K. Mishra, Mr. Gaurav Kr. Pandey, Ms. Avneet Kaur, Adv. for respondent along with General Secretary.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

17.12.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India seeks the following prayers: -

“(a) appropriate orders/directions, directing the respondents to appear in person before this Hon'ble Court to explain their conduct in not complying with the directions given by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 25.03.2022 passed in W.P. (C) No. 258 of 2022 and thereafter take suitable action against them.

(b) appropriate orders/ directions directing the respondents to pay the costs of this petition to the petitioner.



(c) such other or further orders as may be deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that principal amount has been received and, therefore, he does not wish to press this petition at this stage any further with liberty to revive the same if the interest is not paid.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same, in case, the interest component which is stated to be pending is not paid.
5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

AMIT SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 17, 2025/kr