



\$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 760/2025**

TARA CHAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.S. Bammi, Mr. Virender Yadav, Ms. Meenakshi Tyagi and Ms. Pinki, Advs. along with petitioner in-person.

versus

VIJAY KUMAR SINGH & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Chand Chopra and Mr. Piyush Jain, Advs. for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

14.05.2025

%

CM APPL. 28977/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of, accordingly.

CONT.CAS(C) 760/2025

1. This petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of order dated 12th February 2025 in ***W.P.(C) 1755/2025***. Relevant directions passed the Court are extracted as under:

5. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for petitioner submits that at the moment, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, he is permitted to file a fresh representation with DDA with a direction to them to dispose of such representation in a time-bound manner.

6. Learned counsel for respondent submits that if there is any such direction, they would comply with the same in accordance with law.



7. In view of the above said statement made by learned counsel for petitioner and without making any observation with respect to rights and contentions of the respective parties, the present petition is disposed of with direction to petitioner to submit fresh representation with DDA within a period of 10 days from today.

8. It is expected that once such representation is received, DDA shall decide the same in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of eight weeks from today. It would be up to DDA to consider whether the petitioner should be given a personal hearing or not.

2. Issue notice.
3. *Ms. Chand Chopra*, Counsel for the Delhi Development Authority, accepts notice.
4. She states, on instructions, that the file is under process, considering that interest waiver had been sought by petitioner, the accounts department will have to assess the matter.
5. Accordingly, she states that the decision will be taken within the next three weeks.
6. The petition is, therefore, disposed of with recording the undertaking of the counsel recorded.
7. Liberty is given to the petitioner to revive the same in case there is any continued infraction of the timeline which has been granted by this Court.
8. It has been noted that the original directions were passed in February 2025 and, therefore, this issue should not occasion any further delay.
9. Petition is disposed of.
10. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 14, 2025/MK/tk