



\$~49 & 51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1808/2025**

PREETI BANSAL

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Siddharth Yadav, Sr.
Adv. with Mr. Anuj
Chauhan & Mr. Narender
Ladiwal, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri,
APP for the State along
with Ms. Divya Yadav &
Mr. Lalit Luthra, Adv.
Mr. Roopansh Purohit,
Adv. for R-2 along with
R-2 in person.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1815/2025**

VINOD BANSAL

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Siddharth Yadav, Sr.
Adv. with Mr. Anuj
Chauhan & Mr. Narender
Ladiwal, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri,
APP for the State along
with Ms. Divya Yadav &
Mr. Lalit Luthra, Adv.
Mr. Roopansh Purohit,
Adv. for R-2 along with
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

13.05.2025

CRL.M.A. 14673/2025 (exemption) in BAIL APPLN.

BAIL APPLN. 1808/2025 & BAIL APPLN. 1815/2025

Page 1 of 4



**1808/2025 &
CRL.M.A. 14707/2025 & CRL.M.A. 14708/2025 (exemptions)
in BAIL APPLN. 1815/2025**

1. Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications stand disposed of.

CRL.M.(BAIL) 1045/2025 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1048/2025

3. The present applications are filed by the applicants seeking interim bail of in FIR No.327/2016 dated 13.04.2016, registered at Police Station Safdarjung Enclave for offences under Sections 420/467/468/471/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC').
4. The applicants essentially challenge the orders dated 30.04.2025 and 06.05.2025 (hereafter 'impugned orders') passed by the learned Trial Court rejecting the extension of the interim bails granted to the applicants from time to time and consequently dismissing their regular bail applications.
5. The FIR was registered way back in the year 2016 alleging that the applicants have cheated the complainant for a sum of ₹3.10 crore by selling a mortgaged property. The applicants were arrested on 22.04.2016, however, were released on conditional bail by order dated 26.04.2016. They were subsequently arrested on 11.02.2019.
6. Chargesheet after completion of investigation was thereafter filed on 24.04.2019. Applicants thereafter were granted interim bail by order dated 18.04.2020 and 16.04.2020 respectively.
7. Parties thereafter settled their disputes with the intervention of Mediation and Conciliation Centre for a total sum of ₹3.10 crores.
8. The learned Trial Court noting that the terms of the



settlement have not been ~~continued~~ with by the applicants, declined to grant extension of the interim bail granted to the applicants and dismissed their interim bails applications.

9. The learned senior counsel for the applicants submits that a sum of ₹2.05 crores has already paid to the applicants pursuant to the settlement and another sum of ₹1.05 crores by way of Demand Draft No.087633 dated 03.05.2025 drawn on HDFC Bank has been handed over to the learned counsel for the complainant. He submits that the complainant has agreed that after receiving another sum of ₹50 lakhs he would also cooperate in quashing of the FIR.

10. The applicants are present in the Court today. They undertake that the balance amount would be paid to the complainant within a period four weeks.

11. The complainant is present in the Court today and states that he has no objection if the applicants are admitted on bail. He submits that the parties have settled their disputes and have also agreed for quashing of the FIR.

12. The learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions, submits that as and when the applicants are ready with the payment, they will appear before this Court and support their petition for quashing of the FIR.

13. As noted above, the investigation is already complete and the chargesheet has already been filed. The applicants have also spent considerable period of time in custody. The parties have already settled their disputes, *albeit*, certain amount is left to be paid. No purpose would be served by sending the applicants in custody. The present applications are, therefore, allowed.

14. The applicants are admitted on bail on the strength of the same bail bond and surety bond furnished before the learned trial



Court at the time of their release on interim bail, till the pendency of their respective bail applications, on the following conditions :

- a. The applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case or tamper with the evidence of the case, in any manner whatsoever;
 - b. The applicants shall under no circumstance leave the boundaries of the country without permission of the learned Trial Court;
 - c. The applicants shall appear before the learned Trial Court as and when directed;
 - d. The applicants shall provide the address where they are residing and shall not change the address without informing the concerned IO/ SHO;
 - e. The applicants shall also give their mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO and shall keep their mobile phones switched on at all times.
15. The present bail applications are allowed in the aforementioned terms.
16. A copy of this order be placed in both the matters.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MAY 13, 2025

“SK”