



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 749/2025**

NEERAJ BHANDARI

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sumitra Choudhary, Mr. M.K.
Raghav Raman, Ms. Nitya Sharma,
Ms. Bhagyashree & Mr. Aditya
Choudhary, Advs.

versus

**M/S ATRIUM NEWGEN DIAGNOSTICS THROUGH SANDEEP
SHEKHAWAT**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Niharika Rai & Mr. Sourabh
Saini, Advs. (thru VC)

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

ORDER

% **13.05.2025**

1. This petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of order dated 24th March 2025 passed by the Court in **OMP.(I) (COMM.) 211/2024** directing as under:

5. For the said reasons, the application is allowed and Ms. Saira Tagra, Advocate (Mob. No. 9654431242) is appointed as an LC to visit the premises of M/s Atrium Newgen Diagnostics, Plot No. 1 SP, Sector 28, Golf Course Road, Gurugram, Haryana-122002 to take photographs of the machine i.e. Refurbished Philips 3t Achieve MRI of Philips and thereafter in consultation with the doctors and equipment manufacturer ascertain the value of the machine and shall file a valuation report before this Court.



6. The valuation report shall be shared to all the parties concerned.
 7. The Receiver appointed in O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 93/2025 will take the LC along with him at the time of taking possession.
2. Counsel for petitioner states that despite the orders, access to the Clinic was denied on 29th March 2025. Thereafter, despite repeated efforts, the premises at *M/s Atrium Newgen Diagnostics, Plot No. 1 SP, Sector 28, Golf Course Road, Gurugram, Haryana-122002* could not be accessed. It is contended that respondent has managed to keep the premises locked, thereby rendering the efforts of the Local Commissioner futile.
3. A subsequent direction was passed on 9th May 2025 by the Court in ***OMP.(I) (COMM.) 93/2025***, directing that the Local Commissioner shall visit the premises of respondent no.1 on 12th May 2025 at 11:00 AM.
4. Yet again, access was still not given yesterday, since *Mr. Sandeep Shekhawat*, the Managing Partner, had written an E-mail to the petitioner stating that the keys of the premises were with another partner-cum-Operations Manager, *Ms. Anamika*, and requested them to talk to her. However, no call was made to her.
5. Counsel for petitioner states that this set of actions are clearly an attempt to wilfully disobey the orders of this Court.
6. On directions of the Court, *Mr. Sandeep Shekhawat* has appeared through VC and states that he is currently in Netherlands and is returning only on 2nd June 2025.
7. It has been agreed that the Local Commissioner shall visit the premises on 20th May 2025 at 11:00A.M.
8. *Mr. Sandeep Shekhawat* personally undertakes that access will be provided and that he will give instructions to his staff and officers, including



Ms. Anamika and any other person who is in possession of the keys to the premises.

9. On this undertaking, this petition is disposed of with liberty to petitioner to get the same revived in case of continued infraction.

10. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 13, 2025/sm/tk