



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1544/2025 & CRL.M.A. 14361/2025**

JAI BHAGWAN SAINI & ANR.Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Sandeep K Singh, Adv.**

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: **Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC with
Mr. Alok Sharma, Adv.
Sr. Manager, Legal for R-2.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

% **22.08.2025**

1. The instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter 'BNSS') has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR no. 596/2024, registered at Police Station Nand Nagri on 03.01.2025, for offences punishable under Section 135 of the Indian Electricity Act, 2003 (hereinafter "IEA").

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 20.06.2024 at around 3 PM an inspection was carried out at the construction site of M/s Jai Bhagwan at Sundar Nagri, Delhi and found that direct theft of electricity through four numbers of black colour illegal Teflon wire to the construction site. It is further alleged that the meter installed at the site found to be by-passed. Thereafter, the petitioner was charged with dishonestly stealing electricity without permission from the electricity department.



3. Order of settlement passed by the Delhi State Legal Services Authority (E-Special Lok Adalat) dated 09.11.2024 (hereinafter “the Settlement”) is on record and has been annexed as “Annexure P-3” to the present petition. This order records a settlement amount of ₹18,80,000/- as being payable by the petitioners to respondent no.2. Consequent to the terms of this Settlement being complied with, respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 596/2024 registered at Police Station Nand Nagri against the petitioners.

4. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR be quashed on the basis of the compromise, and that the amount payable as per the Settlement stands paid.

5. Learned ASC for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Nand Nagri. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by its counsel and the Investigating Officer.

8. On a query made by this Court, Counsel for respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that the compromise was entered without any pressure or coercion, and that the dispute stands amicably settled.

9. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.



10. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR No. 596/2024 registered at Police Station Nand Nagri for offences punishable under Section 135 of the Indian Electricity Act, 2003, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

11. The petition, along with pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

AUGUST 22, 2025

Sk/av